

We hence have that $Au = f$ admits a solution, namely, there exists a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \beta(u) = f & \text{in } D \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial D \end{cases}$$

Passing to limits into maximal monotone relations

Easy case (very rare!)

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } V, \quad Au_n \rightarrow \xi \text{ in } V' \Rightarrow \xi = Au$$

Fix $v \in D(A)$ and compute

$$\langle \xi - Av, u - v \rangle =$$

$$\langle \xi, u \rangle - \langle Av, u \rangle - \langle \xi, v \rangle + \langle Av, v \rangle \stackrel{(*)}{=}$$

$$= \limsup_n \langle Au_n, u_n \rangle - \lim_n \langle Av, u_n \rangle - \langle \xi, v \rangle + \langle Av, v \rangle =$$

$$= \lim_n \langle Au_n - Av, u_n - v \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then ξ must be Au , otherwise one could "extend"

A by keeping monotonicity by letting

$$D(\tilde{A}) = D(A) \cup \{u\}$$

$$\tilde{A}v = \begin{cases} Av & \text{if } v \neq u \\ \xi & \text{if } v = u \end{cases}$$

this contradicts maximality. $\square$

Tricky case (very often!)

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } V, \quad Au_n \rightarrow \xi \text{ in } V', \quad \langle \xi, u \rangle \geq \limsup_n \langle Au_n, u_n \rangle \stackrel{(**)}{\Rightarrow} \xi = Au$$

Use $(**)$ in $(*)$ above. $\square$

A sufficient condition for maximality:

$A : H \rightarrow H$ monotone & hemicontinuous
 $(\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \langle A(x + \lambda u), v \rangle \text{ continuous for all } x, u, v \in H) \Rightarrow A \text{ maximal}$

✱ Let ξ be such that $\langle \xi - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq 0$
 $\forall y \in H$. We need to prove that $\xi = Ax$.
 Choose $y = tx + (1-t)z$ for any fixed $z \in H$.
 Then:

$$\langle \xi - A(tx + (1-t)z), (1-t)(x - z) \rangle \geq 0$$

Divide by $1-t$ and take $t \rightarrow 1^-$. Hemicontinuity ensures that

$$\langle \xi - Ax, x - z \rangle \geq 0$$

Choose now $z = x \pm w$ and get that

$$(\xi - Ax, w) = 0 \quad \forall w \in H$$

Hence $\xi = Ax$. $\square$

Passing to the limit in $Au_n = l_n$ where A is monotone and hemicontinuous and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in V , and $l_n \rightarrow l$ in V' .

As $Au_n = l_n$ we have that

$$\langle Au_n - l_n, u_n - v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$$

Since A is monotone, one finds that

$$\langle Av - l_n, u_n - v \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall v \in V$$

By passing to the limit we obtain

$$\langle Av - l, u - v \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall v \in V$$

Choose now $v = tu + (1-t)w$ for any $w \in V$ fixed. Then

$$\langle A(tu + (1-t)w) - l, (1-t)(u - w) \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall w \in V$$

Pass to the limit as $t \rightarrow 1^-$ and get

$$\langle Au - l, u - w \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall w \in V$$

Choose $w = u \pm g$ to get

$$\langle Au - l, g \rangle = 0 \quad \forall g \in V$$

Hence $Au = l$. $\square$

Operators

Let $(U, (\cdot, \cdot)_U)$ and $(H, (\cdot, \cdot)_H)$
be real separable Hilbert spaces.

$$L(U, H) = \{T: U \rightarrow H \text{ linear \& continuous}\}$$

$$L(U) = L(U, U)$$

- ① $T \in L(U)$ is symmetric iff $(Tu, v)_U = (u, Tv)_U \quad \forall u, v \in U$
is nonnegative iff $(Tu, u)_U \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in U$

- ② $T \in L(U, H)$ is nuclear if $\exists \{u_i\} \in U, \{h_i\} \in H$ s.t.

$$Tv = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} h_i (v, u_i)_U \quad \forall v \in U \quad (*)$$

with the property that $\sum_i \|u_i\|_U \|h_i\|_H < \infty$.

$L_1(U, H) = \{T \in L(U, H) \text{ nuclear}\}$ is a Banach space
with the norm

$$\|T\|_{L_1(U, H)} := \inf \sum_i \|u_i\|_U \|h_i\|_H$$

where $\{u_i\}$ and $\{h_i\}$ realize (*).

- ③ $T \in L_1(U)$ nonnegative & symmetric is called
trace-class.

- ④ $T \in L(U)$ and $\{e_k\}$ orthonormal basis in U .

We define

$$\text{tr}(T) = \sum_k (Te_k, e_k)_U$$

whenever convergent.

This definition does not depend on $\{e_k\}$ if

T is nuclear

- ③ $T \in L(U, H)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt if

$$\sum_k \|Te_k\|_H^2 < \infty$$

for some (hence all) orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}$ of U ,

$$\left(\sum_k \|Te_k\|_H^2 = \sum_k \sum_j |(Te_k, f_j)|^2 = \sum_j \|T^* f_j\|_H^2 \right)$$

$$L_2(U, H) = \{ T \in L(U, H) \text{ Hilbert-Schmidt} \}$$

- ④ $T_1, T_2 \in L_2(U, H)$. By letting

$$(T_1, T_2)_{L_2(U, H)} := \sum_i \langle T_1 e_i, T_2 e_i \rangle_H$$

we get that $(L_2(U, H), (\cdot, \cdot)_{L_2(U, H)})$ is a separable Hilbert space.

Stochastic processes with values in U sep. Hilbert

The measure ρ on $(U, \sigma(U))$ is the Gaussian $N(m, Q)$, with mean $m \in U$ and covariance operator $Q \in L(U)$ nonnegative, symmetric, with finite trace if

$$\hat{\rho}(u) = \int_U e^{i(u, v)_U} d\rho(v) \equiv e^{i(m, u)_U - \frac{1}{2}(Qu, u)_U}.$$

○ The random variable $X: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \rightarrow (U, \sigma(U))$ is Gaussian if $X_{\#}\mu = N(m, Q)$. In this case

- 1) $\forall u$ the real random var $(X, u)_U$ is normally distributed
- 2) $E((X, u)_U) = (m, u)_U$
- 3) $E((X-m, u)_U (X-m, v)_U) = (Qu, v)_U$
- 4) $E(\|X-m\|_U^2) = \text{tr } Q.$

All Gaussian random variables can be represented as

$$X = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} \beta_k e_k + m \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu; U)$$

where e_k is an orthonormal basis made of eigenvectors of Q , λ_k are the eigenvalues (decreasing order), β_k are independent Gaussian rand. variables with $\beta_k \# \mu = N(0, 1)$.