# On the unimodality consequence of the Neggers-Stanley conjecture

## Iqra Khan

Joint work with

Volkmar Welker

Philipps University of Marburg, Germany

<ロ > < />

On the unimodality consequence of the Neggers- Stanley conjecture

Preliminaries

# **Preliminaries**

**1** P is a poset on 
$$[n] = \{1, .., n\}$$
 ordered by  $<_P$ .

$$p <_P q \implies p < q$$

We will consider naturally labeled poset in our work.

3 A linear extension of P is a total order  $\prec$  on [n] such that

$$p <_P q \implies p \prec q$$

If  $p_1 \prec \cdots \prec p_n$  then we write  $\pi = p_1 \dots p_n \in S_n$  for the total order

4 The length of P is

$$I(P) = \max\{r \mid \exists p_1 < ... < p_{i-1} < p_r \text{ in } P\}$$

□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Example



Figure: Naturally labeled poset P

The linear extensions of P are

1234, 1324, 1243, 2134, 2143

## Example



Figure: Naturally labeled poset P

The linear extensions of P are



Define

$$h_P(t) = \sum_{\pi} t^{des\pi}$$

- Neggers-Stanley conjectured that h<sub>P</sub>(t) is real rooted. This was shown to be false by Branden and Stembridge.
- Well known: Real rooted implies unimodality.
- Unimodality implication of Neggers-Stanley (still open)
- This talk: Extend a known approach to unimodality from graded to non-graded posets (no proof of unimodality yet)

- **1** An order ideal *I* in a poset *P* is a subset  $I \subseteq P$  such that if  $x \in I$  and  $y <_P x$  implies  $y \in I$ .
- **2** The distributive lattice of *P* is

$$L(P) = \{I \mid I \text{ order ideal in } P\}$$

ordered by inclusion.

**3** A *P*-partition is a map  $\sigma: P \to \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{P}$  satisfy the following condition

If  $s <_P t$  in P, then  $\sigma(t) \le \sigma(s)$ .

For an order ideal I its indicator function  $\sigma_I : P \to \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{R}^P$  is a P-partition.

4 The order polytope O(P) is defined as

 $O(P) = \operatorname{Conv} \{ \sigma_I \mid I \text{ order ideals in } P \}$ 

<ロ > < />

## Triangulations of order polytope

Triangulation of O(P): Geometric simplicial complex  $\Delta$  with realization O(P).

- A triangulation of a polytope Γ in ℝ<sup>m</sup> is called regular if it can be obtained by projecting the lower envelope of a lifting of Γ to ℝ<sup>m+1</sup>.
- 2 A triangulation  $\Delta'$  of  $\Gamma$  is unimodular if normalized volume  $Vol(\gamma) = 1$  for every maximal simplex  $\gamma$  in  $\Delta'$ .

## Example



Figure: Regular Triangulation

## Theorem (Stanley)

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{S}} = \{ \mathsf{Conv} \{ \sigma_{I_1}, ..., \sigma_{I_r} \} \mid I_1 \subset ... \subset I_r \in L(P) \}$$

is a regular unimodular triangulation of O(P).

### Lemma

If  $\Delta$  is a regular unimodular triangulation of O(P) then

$$h_P(t) = h_{\Delta}(t)$$
 the h-polynomial of  $\Delta$ ).

И

## Together with Ehrhart's theorem these results imply:

## Theorem (well known)

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \left| nO(P) \cap \mathbb{N}^P \right| \cdot t^n = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^r h_i t^i}{(1-t)^{|P|+1}} = \frac{h_P(t)}{(1-t)^{|P|+1}}$$
  
where  $r = |P| - l(P)$ .

 If P is graded then by [Reiner,Welker] in 2005 there is a regular unimodular triangulation Δ of O(P) such that

$$\Delta = 2^{\Omega} * \Delta'$$

where  $\Delta'$  is a simplicial polytope.

$$\implies h_P(t) = h_\Delta(t) = h_{\Delta'}(t)$$

• g-theorem for simplicial polytope  $\implies h_P(t)$  is unimodular.

## Theorem (Khan,Welker)

Let P be a poset then there is a triangulation  $\Delta$  of O(P) such that

where 
$$\Delta = 2^{\{1,\dots,l(P)\}} * \Delta'$$

 $\Delta' = \begin{cases} ball \text{ of } dim |P| - l(P) & \text{if } P \text{ is not graded} \\ sphere \text{ of } dim |P| - l(P) - 1 & \text{if } P \text{ is graded } [Reiner, Welker] \end{cases}$ 

## Corollary

If P is not graded then  $h_P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^r h_i t^i$  where r = |P| - I(P) and  $(h_0, ..., h_r, 0)$  is the h-vector of a triangulated ball of dimension |P| - I(P).

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ・ モ ・ モ ・ つくぐ

**Bad news:** No *g*-theorem for triangulated balls **Still hope:** Find *g*-theorem for "special" balls On the unimodality consequence of the Neggers- Stanley conjecture

#### Preliminaries



・ロ ・ ・ 一部 ・ ・ 注 ・ 注 ・ う へ で
14/26

On the unimodality consequence of the Neggers- Stanley conjecture

Preliminaries

# Approach

・< 合・< 言・< 言・< 言・< 言・< 14/26</li>

Recall definitions for graded posets: P with k rank sets  $P_1, ..., P_k$ .

Definition (Equatorial *P*-partition)

A *P*-partition  $\sigma$  is called equatorial if

$$1 \min_{p \in P} \sigma(p) = 0.$$

2 For every  $j \in [2, k] \exists p_{j-1} <_p p_j$  with  $p_{j-1} \in P_{j-1}, p_j \in P_j$  and  $\sigma(p_{j-1}) = \sigma(p_j)$ .

## Definition (Rank constant *P*-partition)

A *P*-partition  $\sigma$  is called rank-constant if it is constant along ranks i.e  $\sigma(p) = \sigma(q)$  whenever  $p, q \in P_j$  for some *j*.

## Example



For the poset the indicator function of ideals which are equatorial P-partitions are

$$(1) = (1,0,0,0), (2) = (0,1,0,0), (13) = (1,0,1,0),$$
  
 $(123) = (1,1,1,0), (124) = (1,1,0,1)$ 

The indicator functions of ideals which are rank-constant P-partitions are (12) = (1,1,0,0) and (1234) = (1,1,1,1).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Extension to non-graded posets:

## Definition

Let  $Q \subseteq P$  be posets. We call Q unique (in P) if for all  $p \in P \setminus Q$ 

$$Q_{>p} = \{y \in Q \mid y > p\}$$

is either empty or has a unique minimal element  $\bar{p}$  and I(P) = I(Q). On the unimodality consequence of the Neggers- Stanley conjecture

#### Results



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 めんぐ

18/26

### Lemma

Let Q be a poset then there exists a graded poset  $Q \subseteq P$  with Q unique in P.

## Definition

Assume  $Q \subseteq P$  is unique. We define map i which maps a Q-partition to a P-partition. If f be a Q-partition then i(f) be defined as

$$i(f)(p) = \begin{cases} f(p) & \text{if } p \in Q \\ 0 & \text{if } p \notin Q, Q_{>p} = \phi \\ f(\bar{p}) & \text{if } p \notin Q, \bar{p} \in Q, \ \bar{p} \ge p \end{cases}$$

<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### Lemma

If f is a Q-partition then i(f) is a P-partition.

### Lemma

If Q is unique in P and g is a P-partition then there exists a Q-partition f with i(f) = g iff the following holds.

1 
$$g(p) = 0$$
 for  $p \notin Q$ ,  $Q_{>p} = \phi$ .

2  $g(p) = f(\bar{p})$  for  $p \notin Q$ , and  $\bar{p} \in Q$  the unique minimal element of  $Q_{>p}$ .

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ・ モ ・ モ ・ つくぐ

## Definition

A *Q*-partition f is called equatorial (resp., rank-constant) if i(f) is equatorial (rank-constant).

## Definition

A chain of ideals  $I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_d$  in L(P) is called equatorial (resp., rank-constant) if the

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a} i(I_j)$$

is an equatorial (resp., rank-constant) P-partition.

## Lemma

Let  $Q \subseteq P$  posets and Q is unique in P. For every Q-partition f there exists a unique decomposition

$$f = f^{eq} + f^{rc}$$

where  $f^{eq}$  is an equatorial Q-partition and  $f^{rc}$  is a rank-constant Q-partition.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ・ モ ・ モ ・ つくぐ

## Proposition

Let P be a non-graded poset then there is a triangulation  $\Delta$  of O(P) such that

$$\Delta = 2^{\{1,\ldots,l(P)\}} * \Delta'$$

where

- $2^{\{1,\ldots,l(P)\}}$  is the simplex of rank constant chains in L(P) and
- Δ' is the simplicial complex of equatorial chains l<sub>1</sub> ⊂ ··· ⊂ l<sub>d</sub> in L(P).

## Additional work:

Reiner and Welker sketch an idea by Dennis White of a *jeu-de-taquin* like bijection between

$$\Big\{ \text{linear extensions of } P \Big\} \leftrightarrow \Big\{ \text{ maximal equatorial chains } \Big\}.$$

Our work: Make this idea rigorous

# **Thank You**

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 - のへで

25/26

## Definition

A map  $\pi: P \rightarrow [m]$  is *i*-unambiguous if it satisfies the following conditions.

1 
$$\pi(p) = \pi(p') \implies p \le p' \text{ or } p' \le p$$

**2** 
$$|\{\pi(p) \mid I(p) \ge i\}| = |\{p \mid I(p) \ge i\}|$$

3 For all  $j \le i-1$  there is exactly one pair p, p', l(p') = j, p covers p' with  $\pi(p) = \pi(p')$ .

## Theorem

The map  $\phi : \pi \to I_{|P|-n}$  where n = I(P) is a bijection between linear extensions and equatorial chains of P.