

Some combinatorial properties of complete semi-Thue systems

Winfried Kurth

Technische Universität Clausthal

Institut für Mathematik

Erzstr. 1, D-3392 Clausthal-Zellerfeld

A reduction system (R, \rightarrow) consists of a set R and a binary relation \rightarrow on R . Let $\xrightarrow{*}$ be the reflexive-transitive closure of \rightarrow and $[x]$ the class of an $x \in R$ with respect to the equivalence generated by \rightarrow . x is called *irreducible* (or *in normal form*) if there is no $y \in R$ such that $x \rightarrow y$. A reduction system can have the following properties:

- *Chain Condition*: There is no infinite chain $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow \dots$ in R . (Then \rightarrow is called *terminating* or *Noetherian*.)
- *Confluence*: $\forall w, x, y \in R: (w \xrightarrow{*} x \wedge w \xrightarrow{*} y \Rightarrow \exists z \in R: x \xrightarrow{*} z \wedge y \xrightarrow{*} z)$.
- *Completeness*: Chain condition and confluence.

If a reduction system is complete, normal forms always exist and are unique. See [3] for further details.

Let Σ be a finite alphabet. Σ^* denotes the free monoid over Σ and \square the empty word. A *semi-Thue system* (STS) on Σ is a subset $S \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$. Each element (u, v) of S is called a *rule* and written in the form $u \rightarrow v$. A STS S defines a reduction relation \rightarrow on Σ^* by $xuy \rightarrow xvy \Leftrightarrow (u, v) \in S$.

Let $OV(u) = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists y, z \in \Sigma^*: u = yx = xz\} \setminus \{\square, u\}$ be the set of *non-trivial self-overlaps* of $u \in \Sigma^*$. Generalizing results of Book [2], Otto and Wrathall [6], one obtains the following

Theorem: Let the single-rule STS $u \rightarrow v$ fulfill the chain condition, and let $u = u_0u_1u_2 \dots u_k$ ($k \geq 0$), such that $OV(u) = \{u_1u_2 \dots u_k, u_2 \dots u_k, \dots, u_k\}$. The STS is confluent iff one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

- (a) v has $u_1u_2 \dots u_k$ as a self-overlap, or
- (b) there is a $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$, such that $v = u_ju_{j+1} \dots u_k$ (for $j = k+1$: $v = \square$) and $u = u_{j-1}^j u_j u_{j+1} \dots u_k$.

For the case $v = \square$, this means that u must be a power of a word y without proper self-overlap [2]. The classes $[w]$ of such complete systems $y^r \rightarrow \square$ are deterministic context-free languages [1]. One can show that the unambiguous grammar $(\Sigma \cup \{S\}, \Sigma, P, S)$ with $P = \{S \rightarrow \square, S \rightarrow (a_1 S a_2 S \dots a_{k-1} S a_k)^r S\}$, where $y = a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$ ($a_i \in \Sigma$), generates $[\square]$. (There is a similar grammar for the general case $[w]$.) From this presentation it follows that the structure generating function $S(z)$ (cf. [4]) of $[\square]$ is the unique solution of the equation $S(z) = 1 + z^{rk}(S(z))^{r(k-1)+1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[z]]$, which is a variant of the well-known "trinomial equation" (T): $A(x) = 1 + x(A(x))^t$ ($t \in \mathbb{N}$). (T) has the unique solution $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ with $a_n = \frac{1}{n(t-1)+1} \binom{tn}{n}$ (the a_n having a lot of combinatorial interpretations, see, e.g. [5]). This is usually proved by the Lagrange inversion formula, but it can also be deduced from the set equation $[a^p] = [a^{p-1}]a + [a^{p+k-1}]b$ for the special STS $a^{k-1}b \rightarrow \square$. The enumeration of words in the classes $[w]$, w irreducible, can be carried out in the same manner.

References

- [1] R. V. Book, Confluent and other types of Thue systems. *J. ACM*, 29 (1982), 171–182.
- [2] ~, A note on special Thue systems with a single defining relation. *Math. Systems Th.*, 16 (1983), 57–60.
- [3] G. Huet, Confluent reductions: Abstract properties and applications to term rewriting systems. *J. ACM*, 27 (1980), 797–821.
- [4] W. Kuich, On the entropy of context-free languages. *Information and Control*, 16 (1970), 173–200.
- [5] ~, A context-free language and enumeration problems of infinite trees and digraphs. *J. Comb. Th.(B)*, 10 (1971), 135–142.
- [6] F. Otto and C. Wrathall, A note on Thue systems with a single defining relation. *Math. Systems Th.*, 18 (1985), 135–143.