

There are matroids which are not representable over any ring. However, A. Dress introduced the concept of a fuzzy ring by weakening the ring axioms such that every matroid becomes representable over some fuzzy ring.

Definition 1:

A fuzzy ring $K = (K; +; \cdot; \varepsilon; K_0)$ consists of a set K together with two compositions " $+ : K \times K \rightarrow K$ " and " $\cdot : K \times K \rightarrow K$ ", a specified element $\varepsilon \in K$ and a specified subset $K_0 \subseteq K$ such that

- (FR0) $(K, +)$ and (K, \cdot) are abelian semigroups with neutral elements 0 and 1 , respectively;
- (FR1) $0 \cdot \kappa = 0$ for every $\kappa \in K$;
- (FR2) $\alpha \cdot (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) = \alpha \cdot \kappa_1 + \alpha \cdot \kappa_2$ for all $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in K$ and $\alpha \in K^x := \{\alpha \in K \mid 1 \in \alpha \cdot K\}$;
- (FR3) $\varepsilon^2 = 1$;
- (FR4) $K_0 + K_0 \subseteq K_0$, $K \cdot K_0 \subseteq K_0$, $0 \in K_0$, $1 \notin K_0$;
- (FR5) for $\alpha \in K^x$ one has $1 + \alpha \in K_0$ iff $\alpha = \varepsilon$;
- (FR6) $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in K$ and $\kappa_1 + \lambda_1, \kappa_2 + \lambda_2 \in K_0$ implies $\kappa_1 \cdot \kappa_2 + \varepsilon \cdot \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \in K_0$;
- (FR7) $\kappa, \lambda, \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in K$ and $\kappa + \lambda \cdot (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \in K_0$ implies $\kappa + \lambda \cdot \kappa_1 + \lambda \cdot \kappa_2 \in K_0$.

Examples:

i) If R is a commutative ring with $1 \in R$, then $(R; +; \cdot; -1; \{0\})$ is a fuzzy ring. Vice versa, if $(K; +; \cdot; \varepsilon; K_0)$ is a fuzzy ring and if $K_0 = \{0\}$, then K is a commutative ring with $\varepsilon = -1$.

ii) If R is a commutative ring with $1 \in R$ and if $U \subseteq R^x$, then we can define a "quotient structure" $R/U := (P(R)^U; +; \cdot; -U; P(R)_0^U)$ with $P(R)^U := \{T \subseteq R \mid U \cdot T = T\}$ and $P(R)_0^U := \{T \in P(R)^U \mid 0 \in T\}$ where $T_1 + T_2 := \{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \mid \kappa_i \in T_i\}$ for $T_1, T_2 \in P(R)^U$.

iii) As a particular case of ii) we put $R = \mathbb{R}$, $U = \mathbb{R}^x$. Then $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}^x = \{\{0\}, \mathbb{R}^x, \mathbb{R}\}$. We obtain the following tables where $0 = \{0\}$, $1 = \varepsilon = \mathbb{R}^x$, $u = \mathbb{R}$, $K_0 = \{0, u\}$:

+	0	1	u
0	0	1	u
1	1	u	u
u	u	u	u

·	0	1	u
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	u
u	0	u	u

In the sequel let E denote a finite set and $(K; +; \cdot; \varepsilon; K_0)$ some fuzzy ring. For $R \subseteq K^E$ we put $R_0 := \{r \in R \mid \emptyset \neq E \setminus r^{-1}(0) = r^{-1}(K^x)\}$ and $(R_0)_{\min} := \{r \in R_0 \mid s^{-1}(K^x) \subseteq r^{-1}(K^x) \text{ for } s \in R_0 \text{ implies } s^{-1}(K^x) = r^{-1}(K^x)\}$.

Definition 2:

A matroid M defined on E is representable over K , if there exists some $R \subseteq K^E$ satisfying

i) for $f \in E$ and $r_1, r_2 \in R$ we have $r_1 \underset{f}{\wedge} r_2 \in R$ where

$$(r_1 \underset{f}{\wedge} r_2)(e) := \begin{cases} r_2(f) \cdot r_1(e) + \varepsilon \cdot r_1(f) \cdot r_2(e) & \text{for } e \in E \setminus \{f\} \\ 0 & \text{for } e = f; \end{cases}$$

ii) for every $r \in R$ and $e \in E$ with $r(e) \notin K_0$ there exists some $s \in R_0$ such that $e \in E \setminus s^{-1}(0) = s^{-1}(K^x) \subseteq E \setminus r^{-1}(0)$;

iii) a subset $C \subseteq E$ is a circuit in M iff there exists some $r \in (R_0)_{\min}$ satisfying $C = E \setminus r^{-1}(0) = r^{-1}(K^x)$.

Example:

Every matroid M defined on E is representable over $K := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}^x$. If $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes the closure operator of M , then $R := \{r \in K^E \mid r(e) = 1 \text{ implies } e \in \langle E \setminus (r^{-1}(0) \cup e) \rangle\}$ satisfies the conditions in Definition 2.

Theorem (Grassmann-Plücker-Relations for Fuzzy Rings):

A matroid M of rank m , defined on E , is representable over the fuzzy ring K iff there exists a map $\chi : E^m \rightarrow K^x \cup \{0\}$ such that

i) $\chi(e_1, \dots, e_m) \neq 0$ iff $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is some base of M ;

ii) $\chi(e_{\pi(1)}, \dots, e_{\pi(m)}) = \varepsilon \cdot \chi(e_1, \dots, e_m)$ for all $e_1, \dots, e_m \in E$ and every odd permutation $\pi \in \Sigma_m$;

iii) for all $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_m, f_2, \dots, f_m \in E$ we have $\sum_{i=0}^m \varepsilon^i \cdot \chi(e_0, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_m) \cdot \chi(e_i, f_2, \dots, f_m) \in K_0$.

A map χ which satisfies the conditions of the theorem will be called a Grassmann-Plücker-map.

Example:

If M is representable over some ring R , then there exists a representation $f : E \rightarrow R^n$, i.e. we have

$$\det(f(e_1), \dots, f(e_m)) \in \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } \{e_1, \dots, e_m\} \text{ is not a base} \\ R^x & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then a Grassmann-Plücker-map $\chi : E^m \rightarrow R^x \cup \{0\}$ is given by

$$\chi(e_1, \dots, e_m) := \det(f(e_1), \dots, f(e_m)) \text{ for } e_1, \dots, e_m \in E.$$

References

- [1] A. W. M. Dress: Duality Theory for Finite and Infinite Matroids with Coefficients, *Advances in Mathematics* 59 (1986), 97-123.
- [2] A. W. M. Dress; W. Wenzel: Endliche Matroide mit Koeffizienten, to appear.
- [3] D. J. A. Welsh: *Matroid Theory*, Academic Press London, New York, San Francisco 1976.