

# Biconservative submanifolds in complex space forms

Dorel Fetcu

Gheorghe Asachi Technical University of Iași



Differential Geometry Workshop 2023  
6 – 9 September 2023  
Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași, Romania

- 1 Biharmonic and biconservative submanifolds
  - The biharmonic equation
  - Introducing biconservative submanifolds
- 2 PMC biconservative surfaces in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}H^n$ 
  - PMC biconservative submanifolds
  - PMC biconservative surfaces (the characterization result)
  - PMC biconservative surfaces (a Simons type formula)
- 3 On the geometry of CMC biconservative surfaces in  $N^2(c)$
- 4 Reduction of codimension for PMC biconservative surfaces
- 5 Segre embedding and biharmonicity
- 6 References

# Harmonic and biharmonic maps

Let  $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$  be a smooth map.

Energy functional

$$E(\varphi) = E_1(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\varphi|^2 v_g$$

Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\varphi) = \tau_1(\varphi) &= \operatorname{trace}_g \nabla d\varphi \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Critical points of  $E$ :  
harmonic maps

Bienergy functional

$$E_2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\varphi)|^2 v_g$$

Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(\varphi) &= \Delta^\varphi \tau(\varphi) - \operatorname{trace}_g \bar{R}(d\varphi, \tau(\varphi))d\varphi \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Critical points of  $E_2$ :  
biharmonic maps

# The biharmonic equation

G.-Y. Jiang (1986):

$$\tau_2(\varphi) = \Delta^\varphi \tau(\varphi) - \text{trace}_g \bar{R}(d\varphi, \tau(\varphi))d\varphi = 0$$

where

$$\Delta^\varphi = \text{trace}_g (\nabla^\varphi \nabla^\varphi - \nabla_{\nabla}^\varphi)$$

is the **rough Laplacian** on sections of  $\varphi^{-1}TN$

# The biharmonic equation

G.-Y. Jiang (1986):

$$\tau_2(\varphi) = \Delta^\varphi \tau(\varphi) - \text{trace}_g \bar{R}(d\varphi, \tau(\varphi))d\varphi = 0$$

where

$$\Delta^\varphi = \text{trace}_g (\nabla^\varphi \nabla^\varphi - \nabla_{\nabla}^\varphi)$$

is the **rough Laplacian** on sections of  $\varphi^{-1}TN$

- it is a fourth-order non-linear elliptic equation
- any harmonic map is biharmonic
- a non-harmonic biharmonic map is **proper-biharmonic**
- a submanifold  $i : M \rightarrow N$  is a **biharmonic submanifolds** if the immersion  $i$  is biharmonic

# Biconservative submanifolds

- D. Hilbert (1924): a symmetric 2-covariant tensor  $S$  associated to a variational problem, conservative at critical points, i.e.,  $\operatorname{div} S = 0$  at these points, called the **stress-energy tensor**;

# Biconservative submanifolds

- D. Hilbert (1924): a symmetric 2-covariant tensor  $S$  associated to a variational problem, conservative at critical points, i.e.,  $\operatorname{div} S = 0$  at these points, called the **stress-energy tensor**;
- P. Baird and J. Eells (1981); A. Sanini (1983): used the tensor

$$S = \frac{1}{2} |d\varphi|^2 g - \varphi^* h$$

that satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} S = -\langle \tau(\varphi), d\varphi \rangle,$$

to study harmonic maps, since

$$\varphi = \text{harmonic} \Rightarrow \operatorname{div} S = 0$$

Obviously

$\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  is an isometric immersion  $\Rightarrow \tau(\varphi) = \text{normal} \Rightarrow \operatorname{div} S = 0$

- G. Y. Jiang (1987): the stress-energy tensor  $S_2$  of the bienergy

$$S_2(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} |\tau(\varphi)|^2 \langle X, Y \rangle + \langle d\varphi, \nabla \tau(\varphi) \rangle \langle X, Y \rangle \\ - \langle d\varphi(X), \nabla_Y \tau(\varphi) \rangle - \langle d\varphi(Y), \nabla_X \tau(\varphi) \rangle$$

that satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} S_2 = -\langle \tau_2(\varphi), d\varphi \rangle$$

If  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  is an isometric immersion, then  $\operatorname{div} S_2 = -\tau_2(\varphi)^\top$



- G. Y. Jiang (1987): the stress-energy tensor  $S_2$  of the bienergy

$$S_2(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} |\tau(\varphi)|^2 \langle X, Y \rangle + \langle d\varphi, \nabla \tau(\varphi) \rangle \langle X, Y \rangle \\ - \langle d\varphi(X), \nabla_Y \tau(\varphi) \rangle - \langle d\varphi(Y), \nabla_X \tau(\varphi) \rangle$$

that satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} S_2 = -\langle \tau_2(\varphi), d\varphi \rangle$$

If  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  is an isometric immersion, then  $\operatorname{div} S_2 = -\tau_2(\varphi)^\top$

### Remark

*If  $\varphi : M \rightarrow (N, h)$  is a fixed map, then  $E_2$  can be thought as a functional on the set of all Riemannian metrics on  $M$  whose critical points are Riemannian metrics determined by  $S_2 = 0$ .*

## Definition

A submanifold  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  of a Riemannian manifold  $N$  is called a *biconservative submanifold* if  $\operatorname{div} S_2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $\tau_2(\varphi)^\top = 0$ .

## Definition

A submanifold  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  of a Riemannian manifold  $N$  is called a *biconservative submanifold* if  $\operatorname{div} S_2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $\tau_2(\varphi)^\top = 0$ .

## Theorem (Balmuş, Montaldo, Oniciuc - 2012)

A submanifold  $M$  in a Riemannian manifold  $N$ , with second fundamental form  $B$ , mean curvature vector field  $H$ , and shape operator  $A$ , is biharmonic iff

$$\frac{m}{2} \nabla |H|^2 + 2 \operatorname{trace} A_{\nabla^\perp H}(\cdot) + 2 \operatorname{trace}(\bar{R}(\cdot, H)\cdot)^\top = 0$$

and

$$\Delta^\perp H + \operatorname{trace} B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) + \operatorname{trace}(\bar{R}(\cdot, H)\cdot)^\perp = 0,$$

where  $\Delta^\perp$  is the Laplacian in the normal bundle.

# Submanifolds with parallel mean curvature

Let  $M^m$  be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold  $N$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y) \quad (\text{Eq. Gauss})$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X V = -A_V X + \nabla_X^\perp V \quad (\text{Eq. Weingarten})$$

## Definition

*If the mean curvature vector field  $H$  is parallel in the normal bundle, i.e.,  $\nabla^\perp H = 0$ , then  $M$  is called a **PMC surface**.*

## Definition

*If the mean curvature  $|H|$  is constant, then  $M$  is called a **CMC surface**.*

# PMC biconservative submanifolds

Let  $M^m$  be a submanifold of a complex space form  $N^n(c)$  with mean curvature vector field  $H$  and decompose  $JH = T + N$ .

# PMC biconservative submanifolds

Let  $M^m$  be a submanifold of a complex space form  $N^n(c)$  with mean curvature vector field  $H$  and decompose  $JH = T + N$ .

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

Let  $M^m$  be a PMC submanifold of  $N^n(c)$ .

- If  $c = 0$ , then  $M^m$  is biconservative, and
- if  $c \neq 0$ , then  $M^m$  is biconservative iff  $JT$  is normal to  $M$ .

# PMC biconservative submanifolds

Let  $M^m$  be a submanifold of a complex space form  $N^n(c)$  with mean curvature vector field  $H$  and decompose  $JH = T + N$ .

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

Let  $M^m$  be a PMC submanifold of  $N^n(c)$ .

- If  $c = 0$ , then  $M^m$  is biconservative, and
- if  $c \neq 0$ , then  $M^m$  is biconservative iff  $JT$  is normal to  $M$ .

Corollary

Let  $M^m$  be a PMC totally real submanifold of  $N^n(c)$  (i.e.,  $JTM^m \subset NM^m$ ). Then  $M^m$  is biconservative.

Corollary

Any PMC real hypersurface  $M^{2n-1}$  of  $N^n(c)$  is biconservative.

# PMC biconservative surfaces

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

Let  $M^2$  be a PMC surface in a complex space form  $N^n(c)$ .

- If  $c = 0$ , then  $M^2$  is biconservative.
- If  $c \neq 0$ , then  $M^2$  is biconservative iff it is totally real.



## Proof.

- When  $c \neq 0$ ,  $M$  is biconservative iff  $JT$  is normal.

## Proof.

- When  $c \neq 0$ ,  $M$  is biconservative iff  $JT$  is normal.
- the Ricci equation

$$\langle [A_H, A_V]X, Y \rangle = -\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)H, V \rangle \text{ implies that } [A_H, A_{JT}] = 0$$

## Proof.

- When  $c \neq 0$ ,  $M$  is biconservative iff  $JT$  is normal.
- the Ricci equation

$$\langle [A_H, A_V]X, Y \rangle = -\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)H, V \rangle \quad \text{implies that} \quad [A_H, A_{JT}] = 0$$

- it follows that, at each point  $p \in M$ , there exists a basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  such that

$$A_H = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{JT} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Proof.

- When  $c \neq 0$ ,  $M$  is biconservative iff  $JT$  is normal.
- the Ricci equation

$$\langle [A_H, A_V]X, Y \rangle = -\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)H, V \rangle \quad \text{implies that} \quad [A_H, A_{JT}] = 0$$

- it follows that, at each point  $p \in M$ , there exists a basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  such that

$$A_H = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{JT} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- from the expressions of  $\bar{\nabla}_X JH$  and  $\bar{\nabla}_X JT$ , as  $\nabla^\perp H = 0$ , we have, at  $p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 + \mu_2 &= -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\langle Je_1, e_2 \rangle^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle B(e_i, T), Je_i \rangle \\ 2|T|^2 &= 2|H|^2\langle Je_1, e_2 \rangle^2 + \text{trace}\langle JB(\cdot, T), \cdot \rangle \end{aligned}$$

## Proof.

- When  $c \neq 0$ ,  $M$  is biconservative iff  $JT$  is normal.
- the Ricci equation

$$\langle [A_H, A_V]X, Y \rangle = -\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)H, V \rangle \quad \text{implies that} \quad [A_H, A_{JT}] = 0$$

- it follows that, at each point  $p \in M$ , there exists a basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  such that

$$A_H = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{JT} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- from the expressions of  $\bar{\nabla}_X JH$  and  $\bar{\nabla}_X JT$ , as  $\nabla^\perp H = 0$ , we have, at  $p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 + \mu_2 &= -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \langle Je_1, e_2 \rangle^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle B(e_i, T), Je_i \rangle \\ 2|T|^2 &= 2|H|^2 \langle Je_1, e_2 \rangle^2 + \text{trace} \langle JB(\cdot, T), \cdot \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- Now, if  $T_p \neq 0$ , consider the orthonormal basis  $\{X_1 = T_p/|T_p|, X_2\}$ , tangent to  $M^2$ . Since  $JT$  is normal, we have

$$\langle JX_2, X_1 \rangle = 0$$

- Next, assume that  $T_p = 0$ . It follows that

$$2|H|^2 \langle Je_1, e_2 \rangle^2 = 0,$$

that is

$$\langle Je_1, e_2 \rangle = 0$$

### Remark (Sato - 1997)

*If  $M^2$  is a PMC surface in  $N^n(c)$  and  $JH$  is normal, i.e.,  $T = 0$ , then it is known that  $M^2$  is totally real and  $n > 2$ .*

### Remark (Sato - 1997)

*If  $M^2$  is a PMC surface in  $N^n(c)$  and  $JH$  is normal, i.e.,  $T = 0$ , then it is known that  $M^2$  is totally real and  $n > 2$ .*

### Remark

*For  $c = 0$ , every PMC submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is biconservative, but not necessarily totally real. For instance,  $\mathbb{S}^2(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is PMC and biconservative in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  but not totally real.*

## Theorem (Nistor - 2017)

*Let  $M^2$  be a complete CMC biconservative surface in a Riemannian manifold  $N^n$  with Gaussian curvature  $K \geq 0$  and  $\text{Riem}^N \leq K_0 = \text{constant}$ . Then  $\nabla_{A_H} = 0$  and  $M^2$  is either flat or pseudo-umbilical.*



## Theorem (Nistor - 2017)

*Let  $M^2$  be a complete CMC biconservative surface in a Riemannian manifold  $N^n$  with Gaussian curvature  $K \geq 0$  and  $\text{Riem}^N \leq K_0 = \text{constant}$ . Then  $\nabla_{A_H} = 0$  and  $M^2$  is either flat or pseudo-umbilical.*

## Corollary

*Let  $M^2$  be a complete PMC totally real surface with  $K \geq 0$  in  $N^n(c)$ . Then  $\nabla_{A_H} = 0$  and  $M^2$  is either flat or pseudo-umbilical.*

## Theorem (Nistor - 2017)

*Let  $M^2$  be a complete CMC biconservative surface in a Riemannian manifold  $N^n$  with Gaussian curvature  $K \geq 0$  and  $\text{Riem}^N \leq K_0 = \text{constant}$ . Then  $\nabla_{A_H} = 0$  and  $M^2$  is either flat or pseudo-umbilical.*

## Corollary

*Let  $M^2$  be a complete PMC totally real surface with  $K \geq 0$  in  $N^n(c)$ . Then  $\nabla_{A_H} = 0$  and  $M^2$  is either flat or pseudo-umbilical.*

## Remark

*This corollary is similar to a result obtained by B.-Y. Chen and K. Ogiue in 1974 compact surfaces (here surfaces are only complete).*

# A Simons type formula

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

*Let  $M^2$  be a PMC totally real surface in the complex space form  $N^n(c)$  with Gaussian curvature  $K$ . Then  $\nabla T = A_N$  and*

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta|T|^2 = K|T|^2 + |A_N|^2.$$

### Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

*If  $M^2$  is a complete PMC totally real surface with  $K \geq 0$  in  $N^m(c)$ , then  $\nabla T = A_N = 0$  and either  $K = 0$  everywhere, or  $K > 0$  at some point on the surface and  $T = 0$  on  $M^2$ .*

### Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

If  $M^2$  is a complete PMC totally real surface with  $K \geq 0$  in  $N^m(c)$ , then  $\nabla T = A_N = 0$  and either  $K = 0$  everywhere, or  $K > 0$  at some point on the surface and  $T = 0$  on  $M^2$ .

#### Proof.

- $|T|^2 \leq |JH|^2 = |H|^2$  and  $M^2$  is CMC  $\Rightarrow |T|^2$  is a bounded function on  $M^2$
- $\Delta|T|^2 \leq 0$ , i.e.,  $|T|^2$  is a subharmonic function  $\Rightarrow |T|^2 = \text{constant}$   
(since  $M^2$  is parabolic ( $K \geq 0$ ), see A. Huber (1957))
- It follows that  $K|T|^2 + |A_N|^2 = 0$



### Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

If  $M^2$  is a complete PMC totally real surface with  $K \geq 0$  in  $N^n(c)$ , then  $\nabla T = A_N = 0$  and either  $K = 0$  everywhere, or  $K > 0$  at some point on the surface and  $T = 0$  on  $M^2$ .

#### Proof.

- $|T|^2 \leq |JH|^2 = |H|^2$  and  $M^2$  is CMC  $\Rightarrow |T|^2$  is a bounded function on  $M^2$
- $\Delta|T|^2 \leq 0$ , i.e.,  $|T|^2$  is a subharmonic function  $\Rightarrow |T|^2 = \text{constant}$   
(since  $M^2$  is parabolic ( $K \geq 0$ ), see A. Huber (1957))
- It follows that  $K|T|^2 + |A_N|^2 = 0$



#### Corollary

Let  $M^2$  be a complete PMC totally real surface with  $K \geq 0$  in  $N^n(c)$ . Then

$$\nabla A_H = \nabla T = A_N = 0$$

and either  $M^2$  is flat or pseudo-umbilical with  $T = 0$ . In the latter case  $n > 2$ .

CMC biconservative surfaces in  $N^2(c)$  (the case  $c \neq 0$ )

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

*Let  $M^2$  be a CMC biconservative surface in a complex space form  $N^2(c)$ , with  $c \neq 0$ . If  $JT$  is normal, then  $M^2$  is PMC.*

# CMC biconservative surfaces in $N^2(c)$ (the case $c \neq 0$ )

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

*Let  $M^2$  be a CMC biconservative surface in a complex space form  $N^2(c)$ , with  $c \neq 0$ . If  $JT$  is normal, then  $M^2$  is PMC.*

Remark

*If  $M^2$  is a pseudo-umbilical CMC biconservative surface in  $N^2(c)$ , with  $c \neq 0$ , then  $M^2$  is PMC and  $JT$  is normal.*



# Biconservative surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$

A non-PMC biconservative surface in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  has the following parametric equation.

**Proposition (Montaldo, Oniciuc, Ratto - 2016)**

*Let  $M^2$  be a non-PMC biconservative surface with constant mean curvature in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then, locally, the surface is given by*

$$X(u, v) = (\gamma^1(u), \gamma^2(u), \gamma^3(u), v),$$

*where  $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$  is a curve parametrized by arc-length with constant non-zero curvature and non-zero torsion.*

# Biconservative surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$

A non-PMC biconservative surface in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  has the following parametric equation.

**Proposition (Montaldo, Oniciuc, Ratto - 2016)**

*Let  $M^2$  be a non-PMC biconservative surface with constant mean curvature in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then, locally, the surface is given by*

$$X(u, v) = (\gamma^1(u), \gamma^2(u), \gamma^3(u), v),$$

*where  $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$  is a curve parametrized by arc-length with constant non-zero curvature and non-zero torsion.*

**Proposition (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)**

*Let  $M^2$  be a non-PMC biconservative surface with constant mean curvature in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then  $(JT)^\top \neq 0$ .*

# A geometric property of CMC biconservative surfaces

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

*Let  $M^2$  be a CMC biconservative surface in a complex space form  $N^2(c)$ . If  $JT$  is normal, then  $M^2$  is PMC and totally real.*

# A geometric property of CMC biconservative surfaces

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

*Let  $M^2$  be a CMC biconservative surface in a complex space form  $N^2(c)$ . If  $JT$  is normal, then  $M^2$  is PMC and totally real.*

Remark

*Complete PMC surfaces in a complex space form  $N^2(c)$  were classified by K. Kenmotsu (two papers in 2016 and 2018). When  $c > 0$ , these surfaces are totally real flat tori.*

# Reduction of codimension

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

*Let  $M^2$  be a non-pseudo-umbilical PMC totally real surface in a complex space form  $N^n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 4$ . Then there exists a totally geodesic complex submanifold  $N^4(c) \subset N^n(c)$  such that  $M^2 \subset N^4(c)$ .*

# Reduction of codimension

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

Let  $M^2$  be a non-pseudo-umbilical PMC totally real surface in a complex space form  $N^n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 4$ . Then there exists a totally geodesic complex submanifold  $N^4(c) \subset N^n(c)$  such that  $M^2 \subset N^4(c)$ .

Proof.

- Consider  $L = \text{span}\{\text{Im}B \cup (J\text{Im}B)^\perp \cup JTM^2\} \subset NM^m$   
 where  $(J\text{Im}B)^\perp = \{(JB(X, Y))^\perp : X, Y \text{ tangent vector fields to } M^2\}$
- $\nabla^\perp L \subseteq L$ ,  $\text{Im}B \subseteq L$ ,  $\dim L \leq 6$
- consider  $\tilde{L} = L \oplus TM^2$
- $\bar{\nabla}\tilde{L} \subset \tilde{L}$  and  $J\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}$ , which implies that  $\tilde{L}$  is invariant by the curvature tensor  $\bar{R}$
- we conclude by using a result of J. H. Eschenburg and R. Tribuzy (1997).



## Remark

*When the surface  $M^2$  is pseudo-umbilical and a topological sphere the situation is quite different:*

- *S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc, and A. Ratto (2016) showed that if  $M^2$  is a CMC biconservative sphere in an arbitrary Riemannian manifold, then it is pseudo-umbilical.*
- *B. Opozda (1988) proved that if  $M^2$  is a PMC totally real sphere in a complex space form  $N^n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , then there exists a totally geodesic totally real submanifold  $N'$  such that  $M^2 \subset N'$ .*

*Note that the technique used here is a completely different one.*

## Reduction of codimension

With the additional hypothesis  $H \in C(JTM^2)$  one obtains that  $L = JTM^2$  and the codimension reduces even more.



## Reduction of codimension

With the additional hypothesis  $H \in C(JTM^2)$  one obtains that  $L = JTM^2$  and the codimension reduces even more.

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

*Let  $M^2$  be a non-pseudo-umbilical PMC totally real surface in a complex space form  $N^n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . If  $H \in C(JTM^2)$ , then there exists a totally geodesic complex submanifold  $N^2(c) \subset N^n(c)$  such that  $M^2 \subset N^2(c)$ .*

# The Segre embedding (definition)

Consider the isometric and holomorphic embedding introduced by C. Segre (1891)

$$j = S_{pq} : \mathbb{C}P^p(4) \times \mathbb{C}P^q(4) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}(4)$$

given by

$$S_{pq}([(z_0, \dots, z_p)], [(w_0, \dots, w_q)]) = [(z_j w_t)_{0 \leq j \leq p, 0 \leq t \leq q}],$$

where  $(z_0, \dots, z_p)$  and  $(w_0, \dots, w_q)$  are the homogeneous coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}P^p(4)$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^q(4)$ , respectively.

# The Segre embedding

(properties, B.-Y. Chen (1981, 2002); H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi (1976))

Let  $B^j$  be the second fundamental form of  $j = S_{pq}$ .

# The Segre embedding

(properties, B.-Y. Chen (1981, 2002); H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi (1976))

Let  $B^j$  be the second fundamental form of  $j = S_{pq}$ .

- $B^j(X_1, X_2) = B^j(Y_1, Y_2) = 0$ ,  $X_1, X_2$  tangent to  $\mathbb{C}P^p(4)$ ;  $Y_1, Y_2$  tangent to  $\mathbb{C}P^q(4)$

# The Segre embedding

(properties, B.-Y. Chen (1981, 2002); H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi (1976))

Let  $B^j$  be the second fundamental form of  $j = S_{pq}$ .

- $B^j(X_1, X_2) = B^j(Y_1, Y_2) = 0$ ,  $X_1, X_2$  tangent to  $\mathbb{C}P^p(4)$ ;  $Y_1, Y_2$  tangent to  $\mathbb{C}P^q(4)$
- $(\nabla^\perp B^j)(X, Y, Z) = 0$

# The Segre embedding

(properties, B.-Y. Chen (1981, 2002); H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi (1976))

Let  $B^j$  be the second fundamental form of  $j = S_{pq}$ .

- $B^j(X_1, X_2) = B^j(Y_1, Y_2) = 0$ ,  $X_1, X_2$  tangent to  $\mathbb{C}P^p(4)$ ;  $Y_1, Y_2$  tangent to  $\mathbb{C}P^q(4)$
- $(\nabla^\perp B^j)(X, Y, Z) = 0$
- Let  $M^p$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^p(4)$  (i.e.,  $JTM = NM$ ). Then
  - $\{B^j(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha)\}$  are orthonormal vector fields;
  - $\{B^j(JE_a, \bar{E}_\alpha)\}$  are orthonormal vector fields,

where  $\{E_a\}_{a=1}^p$  is a local orthonormal frame field on  $M^p$  and  $\{\bar{E}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{2q}$  is a local orthonormal frame field on  $\mathbb{C}P^q(4)$ .

# Biharmonic submanifolds via Segre embedding

Theorem (Bibi, F., Oniciuc - 2023)

If  $M^p$  is a Lagrangian submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$ , then

- 1 via the Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ , the product  $\Sigma^{p+2q} = M^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$  is a biconservative submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  iff  $M^p$  is a biconservative submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$ ;
- 2  $\Sigma^{p+2q}$  is a proper-biharmonic submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  iff  $M^p$  is a proper-biharmonic submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$ .

# Biharmonic submanifolds via Segre embedding

## Theorem (Bibi, F., Oniciuc - 2023)

If  $M^p$  is a Lagrangian submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$ , then

- 1 via the Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ , the product  $\Sigma^{p+2q} = M^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$  is a biconservative submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  iff  $M^p$  is a biconservative submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$ ;
- 2  $\Sigma^{p+2q}$  is a proper-biharmonic submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  iff  $M^p$  is a proper-biharmonic submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$ .

## Remark

$\Sigma^{p+2q}$  is a non-PMC submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  provided that  $M$  is not minimal in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$ . On the other hand,  $\Sigma^{p+2q}$  is a CMC submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  iff  $M$  is a CMC submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^p$ .



# Biharmonic submanifolds via Segre embedding

Theorem (Bibi, Chen, F., Oniciuc - 2021)

Let  $\gamma$  be a curve of nowhere vanishing curvature  $\kappa$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^1(4)$ . Then, we have:

- the product  $\Sigma^{1+2q} = \gamma \times \mathbb{C}P^q(4)$  is a biconservative submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^{1+2q}(4)$  via the Segre embedding iff  $\kappa = \text{constant}$ .  
In this case,  $\Sigma^{1+2q}$  is CMC non-PMC and it is not totally real.
- Moreover,  $\Sigma^{1+2q}$  is a proper-biharmonic submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^{1+2q}(4)$  iff  $\kappa^2 = 4$ , i.e.,  $\gamma$  is proper-biharmonic in  $\mathbb{C}P^1(4)$ .

# Biharmonic submanifolds via Segre embedding

Theorem (Bibi, F., Oniciuc - 2023)

If  $M_1^p$  and  $M_2^q$  are Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^q$ , respectively, then

- 1 via the Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ , the product  $\Sigma^{p+q} = M_1^p \times M_2^q$  is a biconservative submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  iff  $M_1^p$  and  $M_2^q$  are biconservative submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^q$ , respectively;
- 2  $\Sigma^{p+q}$  is a proper-biharmonic submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  iff one of the submanifolds  $M_1^p$  or  $M_2^q$  is minimal and the other is proper-biharmonic in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$  or  $\mathbb{C}P^q$ , respectively.

# Biharmonic submanifolds via Segre embedding

Theorem (Bibi, F., Oniciuc - 2023)

If  $M_1^p$  and  $M_2^q$  are Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^q$ , respectively, then

- 1 via the Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ , the product  $\Sigma^{p+q} = M_1^p \times M_2^q$  is a biconservative submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  iff  $M_1^p$  and  $M_2^q$  are biconservative submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^q$ , respectively;
- 2  $\Sigma^{p+q}$  is a proper-biharmonic submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  iff one of the submanifolds  $M_1^p$  or  $M_2^q$  is minimal and the other is proper-biharmonic in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$  or  $\mathbb{C}P^q$ , respectively.

Remark

$\Sigma^{p+q}$  is a non-PMC submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$  if at least one of  $M_1$  or  $M_2$  is not minimal in its ambient space. If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are CMC submanifolds in  $\mathbb{C}P^p$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^q$ , respectively, so is  $\Sigma^{p+q}$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ , while the converse is not true in general.

# Biharmonic submanifolds via Segre embedding

## Remark

- Consider two curves  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  with constant curvatures  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$ , respectively. Then, they are biconservative and Lagrangian. It follows that  $\Sigma^2 = \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$  is a CMC (non-PMC) biconservative surface in  $\mathbb{C}P^3$ .
- On the other hand, a CMC biconservative surface in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  with  $J((JH)^\top)$  normal to the surface is PMC. As  $\Sigma^2$  satisfies all these conditions but it is not PMC when either  $\kappa_1$  or  $\kappa_2$  is positive, one sees that this result does not hold in  $\mathbb{C}P^3$ .
- Moreover, since a PMC biconservative surface in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  with  $JH$  tangent to the surface lies in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , this example shows that this only works for PMC surfaces, the CMC condition not being sufficient.
- The surface  $\Sigma^2 = \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$  is proper-biharmonic in  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  iff  $\gamma_1$  is a geodesic of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , i.e., it is a great circle of Euclidean sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  of radius  $1/2$ , and  $\gamma_2$  is a circle of radius  $1/(2\sqrt{2})$  of the same sphere.

# References



H. Bibi, B.-Y. Chen, D. Fetcu, and C. Oniciuc

*Parallel mean curvature biconservative surfaces in complex space forms*

Math. Nachr. 296(8), 2023, 3192–3221.



H. Bibi, D. Fetcu, and C. Oniciuc

*Segre embedding and biharmonicity*

Preprint 2023.

# Thank you!