Unspecified Journal
Volume 00, Number 0, Pages 000–000
S ????-????(XX)0000-0

HOPF'S RATIO ERGODIC THEOREM BY INDUCING

ROLAND ZWEIMÜLLER

Abstract. We present a very quick and easy proof of the classical Stepanov-Hopf ratio ergodic theorem, deriving it from Birkhoff's ergodic theorem by a simple inducing argument.

During the last few years, there has been some interest in short and easy proofs of (pointwise) ergodic theorems, naturally focussing on the most fundamental one, i.e. on Birkhoff's result for probability preserving transformations, see e.g. [KW], [Ke], [P], and [Sh]. In [KK] a similar proof of an important extension was given, which came shortly after the discovery of the first ergodic theorems ([N] and [B], see [Z] for historical comments): the Stepanov-Hopf ratio ergodic theorem ([St], [H]) which is the proper version of the pointwise ergodic theorem for infinite measure preserving transformations (there is no way to get a.e. convergence for ergodic sums normalized by a sequence of constants, cf. [A], §2.4). The aim of the present note is to point out that this result can also be derived as a direct consequence of Birkhoff's theorem, via a (very) simple inducing argument (which doesn't seem to be available or hinted at in the literature I know).

We are going to prove

Theorem 1 (**Hopf's Ratio Ergodic Theorem**). *Let T be a measure preserving transformation on the $\sigma-$finite measure space $(X,\mathcal{A},\mu)$. Let $f,g\in L_1(\mu)$ with $g\geq 0$ and $\int_X g\,d\mu>0$. Then there exists a measurable function $Q(f,g):X\to\mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\frac{\mathbf{S}_n(f)}{\mathbf{S}_n(g)}=\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f\circ T^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g\circ T^k}\longrightarrow Q(f,g)\qquad a.e.\ on\ \{\sup_n \mathbf{S}_n(g)>0\}\quad as\ n\to\infty.$$

On the conservative part the limit function $Q(f,g)$ is measurable w.r.t. the $\sigma-$algebra $\mathcal{I}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ of T-invariant sets and satisfies $\int_I Q(f,g)\cdot g\,d\mu=\int_I f\,d\mu$ for all $I\in\mathcal{I}$.

In particular, if $g>0$ a.e., then

$$Q(f,g)=\mathbb{E}_{\mu_g}\left[\frac{f}{g}\,\|\mathcal{I}\right],\qquad where\ d\mu_g:=g\,d\mu,$$

and if T is ergodic, then $Q(f,g)=\int_X f\,d\mu/\int_X g\,d\mu$ a.e.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28D05, 37A30.

Key words and phrases. pointwise ratio ergodic theorem, induced transformation.
May 19, 2004; revised October 13, 2004.

Proof. For the dissipative part of T, where $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^k < \infty$ a.e. for any $f \in L_1(\mu)$, the assertion is trivial. We can therefore assume w.l.o.g. that T is conservative. By linearity it is enough to consider nonnegative f.

a) To emphasize the simplicity of the argument, we first consider the special case of an ergodic map T. The main step will be to prove that for any $Y \in \mathcal{A}$ with $0 < \mu(Y) < \infty$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{S}_n(f)}{\mathbf{S}_n(1_Y)} \longrightarrow \frac{\int_X f \, d\mu}{\mu(Y)} \qquad \text{a.e. on } Y. \tag{1}$$

As the set $\{\mathbf{S}_n(f)/\mathbf{S}_n(1_Y) \longrightarrow \int_X f \, d\mu/\mu(Y)\}$ is T-invariant, we then see that this convergence in fact holds a.e. on X. Applying the same to g, the assertion of the theorem follows.

To verify (1) we consider the *first return* (or *induced*) *map* $T_Y : Y \to Y$ given by $T_Y x := T^{\varphi(x)} x$, where $\varphi(x) := \min\{n \geq 1 : T^n x \in Y\}$ is the *first return time* of Y, cf. [Ka]. According to basic classical results, T_Y is a measure preserving transformation on the finite measure space $(Y, \mathcal{A} \cap Y, \mu \mid_{\mathcal{A} \cap Y})$, ergodic since T is. Moreover, it is well known that μ can be reconstructed from $\mu \mid_{\mathcal{A} \cap Y}$ via

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \mu(Y \cap \{\varphi > j\} \cap T^{-j} E) \qquad \text{for } E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In other words, $\int_X 1_E \, d\mu = \int_Y (\sum_{j=0}^{\varphi-1} 1_E \circ T^j) \, d\mu$. An obvious argument using linearity and monotone convergence shows that this extends from indicator functions 1_E to arbitrary measurable $f : X \to [0, \infty)$, i.e. that

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_Y f_Y \, d\mu,$$

where $f_Y : Y \to [0, \infty)$ is defined by $f_Y := \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi-1} f \circ T^j$.

We can therefore apply Birkhoff's ergodic theorem to T_Y and f_Y, thus considering the ergodic sums $\mathbf{S}_m^Y(f_Y) := \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_Y \circ T_Y^k$, $m \geq 1$, to see that

$$\frac{\mathbf{S}_m^Y(f_Y)}{m} \longrightarrow \frac{\int_Y f_Y \, d\mu}{\mu(Y)} = \frac{\int_X f \, d\mu}{\mu(Y)} \qquad \text{a.e. on } Y. \tag{2}$$

Let $\varphi_m := \mathbf{S}_m^Y(\varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \varphi \circ T_Y^k$, $m \geq 1$, denote the *m-th return time* to Y. Then, on Y, $\mathbf{S}_n(1_Y) = m$ for $n \in \{\varphi_{m-1} + 1, \ldots, \varphi_m\}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\varphi_m}(f) = \mathbf{S}_m^Y(f_Y)$, so that

$$\frac{\mathbf{S}_m^Y(f_Y)}{m} = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{\varphi_m}(f)}{\mathbf{S}_{\varphi_m}(1_Y)}, \qquad \text{for } m \geq 1, \text{ a.e. on } Y,$$

showing that (2) is equivalent to (1) along the subsequence of indices $n = \varphi_m$, $m \geq 1$. To prove convergence of the full sequence, we need only observe that $\mathbf{S}_n(f)$ is nondecreasing in n since $f \geq 0$. Hence,

$$\frac{m-1}{m} \frac{\mathbf{S}_{m-1}^Y(f_Y)}{m-1} \leq \frac{\mathbf{S}_n(f)}{\mathbf{S}_n(1_Y)} \leq \frac{\mathbf{S}_m^Y(f_Y)}{m} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{for } n \in \{\varphi_{m-1}+1, \ldots, \varphi_m\}, \\ m \geq 1, \text{ a.e. on } Y, \end{array}$$

and (1) follows from (2).

b) If T is not necessarily ergodic, we first observe that as $\{\sup_n \mathbf{S}_n(g) > 0\}$ is invariant, we may assume w.l.o.g. that it equals X. Also, the set M on which $\mathbf{S}_n(f)/\mathbf{S}_n(g)$ does not converge to a function Q with the advertized properties belongs to $\mathcal{I}$. Due to σ-finiteness, every set of positive measure has a subset Y with $0 < \mu(Y) < \infty$, and we prove that $\mu(M) > 0$ is impossible by showing that on any such Y the desired convergence holds a.e.

Restricting our attention to the (smallest) invariant set generated by Y, we suppose w.l.o.g. that $X = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} T^{-n}Y$. By the general form of Birkhoff's theorem, $\mathbf{S}_m^Y(f_Y)/m \to \mathbb{E}_{\mu|_{\mathcal{A}\cap Y}}[f_Y \parallel \mathcal{I}_Y]/\mu(Y)$ a.e. on Y, where $\mathcal{I}_Y$ is the σ-algebra of T_Y-invariant sets in $\mathcal{A} \cap Y$. It is a standard fact about first return maps that $\mathcal{I}_Y = \mathcal{I} \cap Y = \{I \cap Y : I \in \mathcal{I}\}$. By exactly the same argument as before we obtain the following parallel to (1),

$$\frac{\mathbf{S}_n(f)}{\mathbf{S}_n(1_Y)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mu|_{\mathcal{A}\cap Y}}[f_Y \parallel \mathcal{I}_Y]}{\mu(Y)} \qquad \text{a.e. on } Y, \tag{3}$$

and analogously for g. Observe now that

$$\{\mathbb{E}_{\mu|_{\mathcal{A}\cap Y}}[g_Y \parallel \mathcal{I}_Y] > 0\} = \{\sup_m \mathbf{S}_m^Y(g_Y) > 0\} = Y \cap \{\sup_n \mathbf{S}_n(g) > 0\}.$$

Exploiting T-invariance of $\liminf$ and $\limsup$ of the ratios $\mathbf{S}_n(f)/\mathbf{S}_n(g)$, we therefore conclude that their sequence converges a.e. on X to the (uniquely defined) $\mathcal{I}$-measurable extension $Q = Q(f,g)$ to X of $\mathbb{E}_{\mu|_{\mathcal{A}\cap Y}}[f_Y \parallel \mathcal{I}_Y]/\mathbb{E}_{\mu|_{\mathcal{A}\cap Y}}[g_Y \parallel \mathcal{I}_Y]$.

It remains to verify the property $\int_I Q\cdot g\, d\mu = \int_I f\, d\mu$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$, which uniquely characterizes the T-invariant limit Q. To do so, we notice that by T-invariance, we have $(Q\cdot g)_Y = Q \cdot g_Y$, and hence, for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_I Q\cdot g\, d\mu &= \int_{I\cap Y} (Q\cdot g)_Y\, d\mu = \int_{I\cap Y} Q\cdot g_Y\, d\mu = \int_{I\cap Y} Q\cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mu|_{\mathcal{A}\cap Y}}[g_Y \parallel \mathcal{I}_Y]\, d\mu \\ &= \int_{I\cap Y} \mathbb{E}_{\mu|_{\mathcal{A}\cap Y}}[f_Y \parallel \mathcal{I}_Y]\, d\mu = \int_{I\cap Y} f_Y\, d\mu = \int_I f\, d\mu,\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by an APART [Austrian programme for advanced research and technology] fellowship of the Austrian Academy of Sciences.

References

[A] J. Aaronson: *An Introduction to Infinite Ergodic Theory.* AMS 1997.

[B] G.D. Birkhoff: *Proof of the ergodic theorem.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **17** (1931), 656-660.

[H] E. Hopf: *Ergodentheorie.* Springer-Verlag Berlin 1937.

[Ka] S. Kakutani: *Induced measure preserving transformations.* Proc. Imp. Acad. Sci. Tokyo **19** (1943), 635-641.

[KK] T. Kamae, M. Keane: *A simple proof of the ratio ergodic theorem.* Osaka J. Math. **34** (1997), 653-657.

[KW] Y. Katznelson, B. Weiss: *A simple proof of some ergodic theorems.* Israel J. Math. **42** (1982), 291-296.

[Ke] M. Keane: *The essence of the law of large numbers.* in: Algorithms, fractals, and dynamics; Ed. Y. Takahashi. Plenum Publishing Corp.1995, 125–129.

[N] J. von Neumann: *Proof of the quasi-ergodic hypothesis.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **18** (1932), 70-82.

[P] K. Petersen: *Easy and nearly simultaneous proofs of the Ergodic Theorem and Maximal Ergodic Theorem*. preprint 2000.

[Sh] P. Shields: *The Ergodic Theory of Discrete Sample Paths*. AMS 1996.

[St] W. Stepanoff: *Sur une extension du theoreme ergodique.* Compos. Math. **3** (1936), 239-253.

[Z] J.D. Zund: *George David Birkhoff and John von Neumann: A Question of Priority and the Ergodic Theorems*. Historia Mathematica **29** (2002), 138-156.

Mathematics Department, Imperial College London,, 180 Queen's Gate, London SW7 2AZ, UK

E-mail address: r.zweimueller@imperial.ac.uk