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#### Abstract

We show that, given a finite partition of the plane $\mathbb{C}$ such that the map $G$ acts as a linear contraction on each part, for almost every choice of parameters every orbit of $G$ is (asymptotically) periodic.


## 1. Introduction

Piecewise isometries are a class of dynamical systems which exhibit complicated behaviour without being chaotic in the classical sense; they have zero Lyapunov exponents, their topological entropy is zero [5], and they usually have islands of quasi-periodic motion. However, they also tend to have 'exceptional sets' on which the dynamical behaviour is of a fascinating complexity. Two well-known examples of piecewise isometries are ( $i$ ) the class of piecewise affine maps of the torus, studied for example in $[1,2,6]$ and (ii) the 'Goetz map' [10]. The latter consists of piecewise rotations of the positive and negative half planes around different centres of rotation. For angles satisfying specific number theoretical properties, both 'toral maps' and Goetz maps can be understood in terms of substitution shifts $[1,4,12]$, but otherwise the dynamics remain mostly not understood.

Piecewise isometries, including those mentioned above, appear in many applications, for instance, as descriptions of at least three electronic circuits [2, 6, 8], and also in relation to impact oscillators, as first return maps of polygonal billiards and in queueing theory [13]. In two of the three electronic circuits alluded to, the (not realistic) assumption of zero dissipation has been made; allowing non-zero dissipation forces one to consider piecewise contractions instead of piecewise isometries, and it is this that motivates the present work.

Whereas in piecewise isometries, the discontinuities are responsible for complicated behaviour, we show in this paper that for typical piecewise contractions, the contracting behaviour dominates the complexity introduced by discontinuities, so that we only see (asymptotic) periodic motion. This is illustrated by a 'contracting Goetz map' in Figure 1 . When the Goetz map has a contraction factor $\lambda<1$, we are left with only finitely many preimages of pieces of the discontinuity line in a finite area. In fact, for sufficiently small $\lambda$, it has been shown in [9] that every point is attracted to a single periodic orbit. The case of $\lambda<1$ is to be contrasted with the same mapping with $\lambda=1$, also shown in Figure 1.
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Figure 1. Approximations to the exceptional set for the Goetz map with rotation angle 0.7 radians and $\lambda=0.9$ (left) and $\lambda=1$ (right). The rotation angle and contraction factor apply to both partitions. The centres of rotation, shown as stars, are $w_{1}=-1+2 \mathrm{i}, w_{2}=1$ and the left/right-hand half planes are rotated about $w_{2}, w_{1}$ respectively.

In the present paper, we assume that $\left\{X_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{K}$ is a finite partition of $\mathbb{C}$ such that for each $k,\left.G\right|_{X_{k}}$ is an affine map contracting distances. By this we mean that $\left.G\right|_{X_{k}}$ extends to an affine contraction on $\mathbb{C}$ with a fixed point $w_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$. (Note that $w_{k}$ need not belong to $X_{k}$.) Let $w=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{K}\right\} \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$ and $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{K}\right\} \in \mathbb{D}^{K}$, where $\lambda_{k} \in \mathbb{D}$ are contraction factors and $\mathbb{D}$ is the open unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$.

Thus we arrive at a piecewise continuous map $G: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z):=G_{k}(z)=\lambda_{k} z+\left(1-\lambda_{k}\right) w_{k} \quad \text { if } \quad z \in X_{k} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. There exists $R$ such that the disc $B_{R}=\{|z| \leq R\}$ is forward invariant, and for every $z$ there is $n$ such that $G^{n}(z) \in B_{R}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda_{\max }=\max _{k}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|$ and $w_{\max }=\max _{k}\left|w_{k}\right|$. Then it is straightforward to show that taking $R=2 w_{\max } /\left(1-\lambda_{\max }\right)$ satisfies the lemma.

Let $S=\cup_{k} \partial X_{k} \cap B_{R}$; by definition of the partition, $S$ consists of finitely many curves, which we assume to be rectifiable, i.e., they have finite length (finite one-dimensional Hausdorff measure). How $G$ is defined on $S$ is only important if $\partial S$ contains a periodic point. To give a one-dimensional example: If $f(x)=x / 2+1 / 2$ for $x \in[0,1 / 2]$ and $f(x)=x / 2+1 / 4$ for $x \in(1 / 2,1]$, then every orbit converges to $1 / 2$, but due to the discontinuity $1 / 2$ is not fixed.
The exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{E}_{n}=\cup_{0 \leq i \leq n} G^{-i}(S) \cap B_{R} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{E}=\overline{\cup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{E}_{n}} .
$$

Each $\mathcal{E}_{n}$ consists of a finite set of rectifiable arcs.
Theorem 2. For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^{K}$ and Lebesgue a.e. $w \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$, there exists a finite $N$ such that $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_{N}$.

Corollary 3. For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^{K}$ and Lebesgue a.e. $w \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$, $G$ has a finite number of attracting periodic orbits, and every point is attracted to one of them.

Remark: Not all piecewise contractions have asymptotic periodic behaviour. Simple examples occur in the family $f_{a}:[0,1) \rightarrow[0,1), f(x)=\lambda x+a(\bmod 1)$, for a fixed $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and parameter $a \in[0,1)$. The rotation number $\rho\left(f_{a}\right)$ depends continuously on $a$, and is not constant. In fact, the point 0 has period one for $a=0$ and two for $a=1 /(1+\lambda)$, so $\rho\left(f_{0}\right)=0<\frac{1}{2}=\rho\left(f_{1 /(1+\lambda)}\right)$. By continuity, if we vary $a$, we will obtain irrational rotation numbers, and in such a case, no periodic orbits exist, and the asymptotic dynamics is an irrational rotation on a Cantor set.

Most applications of piecewise contractions that we are familiar with are in the plane. Theorem 2 has higher dimensional generalisations, where (1) is replaced by e.g.

$$
G_{k}(x)=\Lambda_{k} x+\left(I-\Lambda_{k}\right) w_{k} \quad \text { if } \quad x \in X_{k} .
$$

for regions $X_{k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, linear contractions $\Lambda_{k}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ translated over $w_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. However, since the geometry of the boundaries $\partial X_{k}$ and the possible eccentricities of $\Lambda_{k}$ create technicalities that only obscure the main idea, we prefer to deal only with the planar case in this paper.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2

Define the itinerary of $z$ as a sequence $e(z)=e_{0} e_{1} \cdots \in\{1, \ldots, K\}^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right)$, where $e_{n}=k$ if $G^{n}(z) \in X_{k}$. Let $I_{n}$ be a collection of strings in $\{1, \ldots, K\}^{n}$ to be specified later, but satisfying the properties

- $\sigma\left(I_{n}\right) \subset I_{n-1}$, where $\sigma$ denotes the left-shift.
- $\left\{e_{0}(z) \ldots e_{n-1}(z): z \in B_{R}\right\} \subset I_{n}$.

Let $I=\cup_{n} I_{n}$. Define a multivalued image of $z$ by

$$
\widetilde{G^{n}}(z):=\left\{G_{e_{n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ G_{e_{0}}(z): e_{0} \ldots e_{n-1} \in I_{n}\right\} .
$$

The omega-limit set is the set of accumulation points of an orbit, i.e., $\omega(z)=\cap_{m} \overline{\cup_{n \geq m} G^{n}(z)}$. Let us define the multivalued omega-limit set analogously:

$$
\widetilde{\omega}(z)=\cap_{m} \overline{\cup_{n \geq m} \widetilde{G^{n}}(z)}
$$

Lemma 4. For every $z \in B_{R}, \omega(z) \subset \widetilde{\omega}(0)$.
Proof. If $y \in \omega(z)$, then there is a sequence $\left(n_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $G^{n_{i}}(z) \rightarrow y$. Take $x_{i} \in I_{n_{i}}$ obtained as $G_{e_{n_{i}-1}} \circ G_{e_{n_{i}-2}} \circ \cdots \circ G_{e_{0}}(0)$, where $e=e(z)$ is the itinerary of $z$. Since $\left|G^{n_{i}}(z)-x_{i}\right| \leq 2 R \lambda_{\text {max }}^{n_{i}}$, we have $x_{n_{i}} \rightarrow y$ and the lemma follows.

Let $S_{\varepsilon}$ be an $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood of $S$.
Lemma 5. For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^{K}$ and Lebesgue a.e. $w \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$, the following holds: for every $L \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ and a neighbourhood $U \ni w$ such that for every $x \in S$ and $w^{\prime} \in U$, there is at most one integer $0<r_{1} \leq L$ such that $S_{\varepsilon} \cap G^{r_{1}}\left(B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) \neq \varnothing$.

Proof. Suppose first that the conclusion fails for $w$. Then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $x_{m} \in S$ and $0<r_{1}<r_{2} \leq L$ such that for $\varepsilon=1 / m, S_{\varepsilon} \cap G^{r_{i}}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{m}\right)\right) \neq \varnothing, i \in\{1,2\}$. Since $S$ is compact, and by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can say that $x_{m} \rightarrow x \in S$ and there is a pair $0<r_{1}<r_{2} \leq L$ such that $G^{r_{i}}(x) \in S$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$.

This is a condition that happens with positive co-dimension, so for Lebesgue a.e. $w$, it will not occur. Finally, because $G$ depends continuously on $w$, and by decreasing $\varepsilon$ if necessary, there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $w$ on which the conclusion remains true on $U$.
Lemma 6. If $\widetilde{\omega}(0) \cap S=\varnothing$, then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{N}=\mathcal{E}$.
Proof. Since $\widetilde{\omega}(0) \cap S=\varnothing$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\cup_{n \geq N} \widetilde{G^{n}}(0) \cap S_{\varepsilon}=\varnothing$. Additionally, assume that $\lambda_{\max }^{N} R<\varepsilon$.

Let $A$ be any arc in $\mathcal{E}_{n} \backslash \mathcal{E}_{n-1}$, so $G^{n}(A) \subset S$. Moreover, there is $x \in \widetilde{G^{n}}(0)$ such that $d\left(x, G^{n}(A)\right)<\lambda_{\max }^{n} R$. Yet, if $n \geq N$, then $\lambda_{\max }^{n} R<\varepsilon$, and no such arc $A$ can exist. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 7. Suppose that $I=\cup_{n} I_{n}$ has the following property: there is $N$ such that for $n \geq N$ and every $e \in I_{n}$, there are at most $L_{0}$ strings in $I_{n+L}$ that coincide with $e$ on the first $n$ coordinates. Then the Hausdorff dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{H}(\widetilde{\omega}(0)) \leq \frac{\log L_{0}}{-L \log \lambda_{\max }}$.

Proof. Let $a_{n}=\# \widetilde{G^{n}}(0)$. By the condition in the lemma, $a_{n+L} \leq L_{0} a_{n}$ for every $n \geq N$, so $a_{N+i L} \leq K^{N} L_{0}^{i}$.
Take $\delta>\frac{\log L_{0}}{-L \log \lambda_{\max }}$, so $\lambda_{\max }^{L \delta} L_{0}<1$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrary, and $i$ so large that $2 R \lambda_{\max }^{m}<\varepsilon$, where $m=N+i L$. We will argue that $\widetilde{\omega}(0)$ is contained in the union of closed discs $D_{x}$ of radius $2 R \lambda_{\max }^{m}$ centred at the points $x \in \widetilde{G^{m}}(0)$.
Indeed, let $y \in \widetilde{\omega}(0)$, and $y_{k} \in \widetilde{G^{n_{k}}}(0)$ are such that $y_{k} \rightarrow y$. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$
e_{n_{k}-m}\left(y_{k}\right) \ldots e_{n_{k}-1}\left(y_{k}\right)=d_{0} \ldots d_{m-1}
$$

i.e., the itinerary of $y_{k}$ ends in the same $m$ coordinates, for all sufficiently large $m$. Since $\sigma\left(I_{n}\right) \subset I_{n-1}$ for all $n$, it follows that $d_{0} \ldots d_{m-1} \subset I_{m}$, and there exists $x=G_{d_{m-1}} \circ \cdots \circ$ $G_{d_{0}}(0) \in \widetilde{G^{m}}(0)$. Therefore $\left|x-y_{k}\right| \leq \lambda_{\max }^{m} R$ for all $k$, and hence $y \in D_{x}$.

Now sum over all such discs to get

$$
\sum_{x \in \widetilde{G^{m}}(0)} \operatorname{diam}\left(D_{x}\right)^{\delta}=\sum_{x \in \widetilde{G^{m}}(0)}(2 R)^{\delta} \lambda_{\max }^{m \delta} \leq K^{N} \lambda_{\max }^{N \delta} L_{0}^{i}(2 R)^{\delta} \lambda_{\max }^{L \delta i} \leq K^{N} \lambda_{\max }^{N \delta}(2 R)^{\delta}
$$

independently of $m$, where the last inequality follows from the choice of $\delta$ above. Hence we have found a cover of $\widetilde{\omega}(0)$ with discs $D_{x}$ of diameter $<\varepsilon$ and $\sum_{x} \operatorname{diam}\left(D_{x}\right)^{\delta}<\infty$. Since this holds for any $\varepsilon$ and $\delta>\frac{\log L_{0}}{-L \log \lambda_{\max }}$ is arbitrary, the Hausdorff dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{H}(\widetilde{\omega}(0)) \leq$ $\frac{\log L_{0}}{-L \log \lambda_{\text {max }}}$ as required.

Remark: The idea of the proof of Theorem 2 is that since $\operatorname{dim}_{H}(\widetilde{\omega}(0))<1, \widetilde{\omega}(0)$ should be disjoint from $S$ for each $\lambda$ and Lebesgue a.e. $w \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$ and 'generically parametrised' families of piecewise contractions. In the proof below, we use linearity in $w$ to show that
for a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^{K}$, the family $\left\{G_{w}\right\}_{w \in \mathbb{C}^{K}}$ is indeed 'generically parametrised'; however, the result should hold for piecewise contractions that are nonlinear in $w$ as well.

Proof of Theorem 2. We can assume without loss of generality that $0 \notin S$, so $\eta:=\inf \{|s|$ : $s \in S\}>0$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^{K}$, take $w \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$ arbitrary so that Lemma 5 holds, and let $\varepsilon>0$ and neighbourhood $U$ be taken from that lemma; $U$ can be arbitrarily small. Recall that $w_{\text {max }}=\max _{k}\left\{\left|w_{k}\right|\right\}$ and $\lambda_{\text {max }}=\max _{k}\left\{\left|\lambda_{k}\right|\right\}$. Let

$$
w_{*}:=1+\sup \left\{\left|w_{\max }^{\prime}\right|: w^{\prime} \in U\right\} .
$$

Then for $R:=2 w_{*} /\left(1-\lambda_{\max }\right)$, the disc $B_{R}$ satisfies Lemma 1 for every $\left(w^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \in U$.
Let $L_{0}:=K^{2}$ and take $L$ so large that $\log L_{0} /\left(-L \log \lambda_{\max }\right)<1$. Now take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2 R \lambda_{\max }^{N}<\varepsilon$. If $n \geq N$ and $Y \subset B_{R}$ is a neighbourhood on which $G^{n}$ is continuous, then $\operatorname{diam}\left(G^{n}(Y)\right)<\varepsilon$, so by Lemma 5 , each $x \in G^{n}(Y)$ can visit $S_{\varepsilon}$ at most twice in the next $L$ iterates. On such a visit, say the $i$ th, $G^{n+i}(Y)$ can intersect all $K$ regions $X_{k}$, but as this happens at most twice, there are at most $K^{2}$ subregions of $Y$ on which $G^{n+L}$ is continuous. This is true for all $w^{\prime} \in U$.

It follows that at most $b_{n}:=K^{N} L_{0}^{(n-N) / L}$ discs of radius $\varepsilon_{n}:=2 R \lambda_{\max }^{n}$ are sufficient to cover $\omega(0)$, uniformly over $\left(w^{\prime}, \lambda\right) \in U$. Let $I_{n}$ be the collection of all possible itineraries of points $x \in B_{R}$ and $w^{\prime} \in U$. For each $e \in I_{n}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{e, n}\left(w^{\prime}\right):= & G_{e_{n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ G_{e_{0}}(0) \\
= & \lambda_{e_{n-1}} \lambda_{e_{n-2}} \cdots \lambda_{e_{1}}\left(1-\lambda_{e_{0}}\right) w_{e_{0}}^{\prime}+\cdots \\
& \cdots+\lambda_{e_{n-1}}\left(1-\lambda_{e_{n-2}}\right) w_{e_{n-2}}^{\prime}+\left(1-\lambda_{e_{n-1}}\right) w_{e_{n-1}}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this expression is linear in $w$, the partial derivative $\frac{\partial H_{e, n}}{\partial w_{k}}$ is the sum of all coefficients of terms which contain $w_{k}$. Thus

$$
D_{e, n}:=\max _{k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}}\left|\frac{\partial H_{e, n}\left(w^{\prime}\right)}{\partial w_{k}}\right|,
$$

is independent of $w^{\prime}$. Take

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & :=\left\{w^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{K}: \widetilde{\omega}(0) \cap S \neq \varnothing\right\} \\
& \subset\left\{w^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{K}: \cup_{e \in I_{n}} B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(H_{e, n}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap S \neq \varnothing\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We show that $A$ has no Lebesgue density points, whence $\operatorname{Leb}(A)=0$.
Let $l_{S}$ be the length of $S$, i.e., the sum of the lengths of all rectifiable curves that comprise $S \cap B_{R}$. Next take $n \geq N$ such that

$$
b_{n} w_{*} \varepsilon_{n} l_{S}<\frac{\eta}{8} \operatorname{Leb}(U)
$$

Each of the at most $b_{n}$ discs $B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(H_{e, n}\right)$ needed to cover $\widetilde{\omega}(0)$ moves slightly as $w^{\prime}$ moves in $U$. For each such disc, i.e., for each $e \in I_{n}$, there are two cases.
(i) If $D_{e, n}<\eta / 2 w_{*}$, then

$$
\sup \left\{\left|H_{e, n}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right|: w^{\prime} \in U\right\} \leq w_{*} D_{e, n}<\eta / 2
$$

so $B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(H_{e, n}\right) \cap S=\varnothing$ for each $w^{\prime} \in U$. In this case, the disc $B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(H_{e, n}\right)$ is 'harmless'; it doesn't contribute to the set $A$.
(ii) If $D_{e, n} \geq \eta / 2 w_{*}$, then we can take $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$ such that $\left|\frac{\partial H_{e, n}}{\partial w_{k}}\right| \geq \eta / 2 w_{*}$. The $\varepsilon_{n}$-neighbourhood $S_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ has area $\leq 2 \varepsilon_{n} l_{S}$, and the disc $B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(H_{e, n}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)$ intersects $S$ only if its centre $H_{e, n}\left(w^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ belongs to $S_{\varepsilon_{n}}$. Thus if we fix the other $w_{i}$ and all $\lambda_{i}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Leb}\left(\left\{w_{k}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}: w^{\prime} \in U, B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(H_{e, n}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap S \neq \varnothing\right\}\right) \leq \frac{2 l_{S} \varepsilon_{n}}{D_{n}} \leq \frac{4 w_{*} l_{S} \varepsilon_{n}}{\eta}
$$

Integrating over the remaining $w_{i}^{\prime}$ (using Fubini's theorem) gives

$$
\operatorname{Leb}\left(\left\{w^{\prime} \in U: B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(H_{e, n}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap S \neq \varnothing\right\}\right) \leq \frac{4 w_{*} l_{S} \varepsilon_{n}}{\eta}
$$

Summing over all the $b_{n}$ discs, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Leb}\left(\left\{w^{\prime} \in U: \bigcup_{e \in I_{n}} B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(H_{e, n}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap S \neq \varnothing\right\}\right) \leq \frac{4 w_{*} l_{S} b_{n} \varepsilon_{n}}{\eta}<\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Leb}(U)
$$

Since this holds for all sufficiently small neighbourhoods $U$ of $w$ (adjusting $n$ if necessary), it follows that $w$ cannot be a Lebesgue density point of $A$. Since $w$ was arbitrary in a set of full measure, $\operatorname{Leb}(A)=0$, as required.

Next we claim that if $\omega(z) \cap S=\varnothing$, then $z$ is asymptotically periodic. To prove this, let $y \in \omega(z)$, and let the sequence $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be such that $G^{n_{k}}(z)=: z_{k} \rightarrow y$. We have $\omega(y) \cap S=\varnothing$, so there is $\delta>0$ such that $G^{n}\left(B_{\delta}(y)\right) \cap S=\varnothing$ for all $n \geq 0$. Take $k<k^{\prime}$ such that $z_{k}, z_{k^{\prime}} \in B_{\delta / 2}(y)$ and $\lambda_{\max }^{n_{k}-n_{k}}<\frac{1}{4}$. Then, since

$$
z_{k^{\prime}} \in G^{n_{k^{\prime}}-n_{k}}\left(B_{\delta}(x)\right) \subset B_{2 \delta \lambda_{\max }^{n_{k^{\prime}}-n_{k}}}\left(y_{k^{\prime}}\right) \subset B_{\delta}(x)
$$

the disc $B_{\delta}(y)$ is mapped continuously into itself under $G^{n_{k^{\prime}-n_{k}}}$. So it contains a single attracting periodic point attracting the orbit of $z$.

Finally, apply Lemma 6 to complete the proof.
Proof of Corollary 3. On each component $Y$ of $B_{R} \backslash \mathcal{E}_{N}, G^{n}$ is continuous and contracting for all $n \geq 0$, and therefore $Y$ contains at most one periodic point $p_{Y}$. If it does contain such a point, then every point in $Y$ is asymptotic to $\operatorname{orb}\left(p_{Y}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{E}_{N}$ consists of a finite number of arcs, there are finitely many periodic orbits, and every point in $B_{R}$, and hence every point in $\mathbb{C}$, is asymptotic to one of them.
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